Unconventional Temperature Enhanced Magnetism in Fe$_{1+\delta}$Te
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Our inelastic neutron scattering study of spin excitations in iron telluride reveals remarkable thermal evolution of the collective magnetism. In the temperature range relevant for the superconductivity in FeTe$_{1-x}$Se$_x$ materials, where the local-moment behavior is dominated by liquidlike correlations of emergent spin plaquettes, we observe unusual, marked increase of magnetic fluctuations upon heating. The effective spin per Fe at $T=10$ K, in the phase with weak antiferromagnetic order, corresponds to $S=1$, consistent with the recent analyses that emphasize importance of Hund’s coupling [K. Haule and G. Kotliar, New J. Phys. 11, 025021 (2009)]. However, it grows to $S=3/2$ in the high-$T$ disordered phase, suggestive of the Kondo-type behavior, where local magnetic moments are entangled with the itinerant electrons.

Magnetic fluctuations discovered by inelastic neutron scattering (INS) [1–3], are believed to be of vital importance for both copper- and iron-based high-temperature superconductors (HTSC) [4–7]. In two competing scenarios, they either originate from local atomic spins [8], or are a property of cooperative spin-density-wave (SDW) behavior of conduction electrons [9,10]. Here, we report an INS study of spin dynamics in iron telluride, a parent material of the simplest family of iron-based HTSC, aimed at resolving this issue.

Iron telluride becomes superconducting upon partial or full isoelectronic substitution of Te by Se [11,12]. Although the highest critical temperature for FeTe$_{1-x}$Se$_x$ is only $T_c=14.5$ K, it increases to above 30 K under pressure [13]. FeTe crystal structure consists of a continuous stacking of square-lattice layers of iron atoms, each sandwiched between the two twice-sparser layers of bonding chalcogen or pnictogen atoms, which is the basic structural motif for all iron-based superconductors. The Te atoms, which tetrahedrally coordinate the Fe sites, occupy alternate checkerboard positions above and below the Fe layer, so that the resulting unit cell contains 2 f.u. In this quasi-two-dimensional structure, FeTe layers are only weakly bound together and crystallographic stability is improved if some amount of extra Fe atoms is incorporated between the layers, which frustrates magnetic correlations in the Fe$_{1+y}$Te series (0.02 < $y$ < 0.11). As we discuss later, this has important consequences for magnetic order and lattice distortion, which in our Fe$_{1.1}$Te sample are noticeably weaker than in samples with $y \approx 0.06$ [14–16], and for low-energy magnetic correlations, which are more diffuse.

Band structure calculations predict Fe(Se,Te) to be a metal, with several bands crossing the Fermi energy [17–19]. This qualitatively agrees with scanning tunneling spectroscopy [20] and angle-resolved photoemission studies of FeTe [21,22]. Both find small electron and hole pockets near the corner, $Q=(0.5,0.5)$, and the center, $Q=0$, respectively, of the two-dimensional (2D) Brillouin zone (BZ) (we use reciprocal lattice units (r. l. u.) notations corresponding to the actual crystallographic unit cell with 2 Fe atoms). While these findings reveal the existence of itinerant electrons, bulk resistivity measurements find either a nonmetallic, or a bad-metal behavior. At the same time, Curie-Weiss behavior of magnetic susceptibility suggests significant local magnetic moments, $\mu_{\text{eff}}=4\mu_B$ ($\mu_B$ is Bohr’s magneton), and a rather small Curie-Weiss temperature, $\Theta_{\text{CW}}=190$ K [14,23,24]. Thus, we have materials where local moments and itinerant conduction electrons coexist, and the relation between them is revealed by our experiments.

Our measurements were carried out using the ARCS spectrometer at the Spallation Neutron Source at Oak Ridge National Laboratory. The Fe$_{1.1}$Te crystal of $m=18.45$ g with a mosaic of 2.2° full width at half maximum (FWHM) was mounted on an aluminum holder attached to the cold head of closed-cycle refrigerator. The crystal’s $c$ axis was aligned parallel to the incident neutron beam, with the $a$ axis at about 24° to the horizontal. ARCS is a direct geometry, time-of-flight spectrometer, where a monochromatic pulse of neutrons with energy $E_i$ is incident on the sample. For each energy transfer, $E < E_i$, a slice of the sample’s $Q=(h,k,l)$ phase space is probed. We analyzed projections of such slices on the $(h,k)$ plane. Each $(h,k)$ point corresponds to a particular value of $l=l(h,k,E,E_i)$, since $Q$ and $E$ are coupled via energy-momentum conservation. For $E_i=40$ meV, magnetic Bragg peaks arising from the three-dimensional (3D) magnetic ordering at wave vector $= (0.5,0.5,0.5)$ can be observed in the second Brillouin zone, Fig. 1(a), because $l(0.5,1,0,40) \approx 0.5$. $Q-$ and $T$-independent background (BG) arising from the incoherent elastic scattering was
estimates at several positions where magnetic scattering is nearly absent, and subtracted from all data. It had the form of the resolution-limited (FWHM = 2 meV) Gaussian peak in intensity. Data were normalized to the inelastic scattering intensity from acoustic phonon modes near the structural Bragg reflection $\mathbf{Q} = (1, 1, 1)$.

Figure 1 presents an overview of the low-energy magnetic excitations at $T = 10$ K. The left column shows neutron intensity as a function of the 2D wave vector $\mathbf{Q} = (h, k)$ in the $ab$ plane for elastic, $E = 0$, (a), and inelastic, $E = 7.5$ meV, (b), and 20 meV, (c), scattering. Remarkably, the scattering takes the form of broad, diffuse peaks centered near (but not exactly at) $(\pm 0.5, 0)$ and $(0, \pm 0.5)$ positions, for all energies covered in this measurement ($E \leq 26$ meV). Magnetic dynamics of this type is often explained by invoking a system of itinerant electrons, where wave vectors of magnetic excitations are determined by nesting properties of the Fermi surface(s) [9,10,17]. Such explanation clearly fails for FeTe compounds, since there is no Fermi surface nesting near $(0.5, 0)$—nesting occurs at $(0.5, 0.5)$ and $(1, 0)$ [20]. In addition, as we show later, the large observed magnetic intensity would require the entire weight of two fully spin-polarized itinerant electronic bands.

The magnetic excitations in Fig. 1 imply robust short-range correlations, whose well-defined real space structure persists over a broad range of time scales. In a system of local spins this might be a signature of an emergent cooperative spin texture, such as the hexagonal loops induced by spin frustration in ZnCr$_2$O$_4$ [25,26]. While our attempts to analyze the low-energy magnetic scattering in Fe$_{1.1}$Te in terms of spin waves in the Heisenberg model were unsatisfactory, we found that the observed scattering can be very accurately described by a cluster model of this kind. The right column of Fig. 1 shows fits of our data to a model in which plaquettes of four ferromagnetically co-aligned nearest-neighbor Fe spins emerge as a new collective degree of freedom, with short-range antiferromagnetic correlations between the neighboring plaquettes. Such co-aligned plaquettes are locally favored by Fe interstitials, a small amount of which is present in our sample and which act as condensation centers for plaquette spin liquid. The absence of magnetic scattering along the sides of the square with vertices at $(h, k) = ( \pm 1, 0 ), (0, \pm 1)$ is a clear fingerprint of the plaquette structure factor, $S_p(\mathbf{Q}) \sim [\cos(\pi h + \xi k)/2\cos(\pi h - k/2)]^2$. With only two parameters, the intensity and the correlation length $\xi$, this fit is nearly as good as the fit to the phenomenological pattern of factorized Lorentzian peaks shown in Figs. 1(a)–1(f), which was used for quantifying intensity and position of magnetic scattering in Figs. 2 and 3.

Figure 2 shows the energy dependence of magnetic intensity at $h = 0$, corresponding to the vertical slice at the center of Figs. 1(a)–1(c). It reveals a pronounced maximum at $E = 7$ meV and a weak, acoustic-like mode dispersing from it down to $Q = 0$. While the origin of the resonancelike maximum is unclear, it appears near the...
As far as the low-energy magnetic fluctuations are concerned, it is tempting to pursue further the analogy with emergent excitations of frustrated spins in ZnCr\(_2\)O\(_4\). There, a somewhat similar resonance behavior was observed. It arises upon cooling, as a result of interaction with the lattice, and is accompanied by a small lattice distortion and a weak long-range antiferromagnetic order [25,26]. Fe\(_{1+}\)Te materials also exhibit antiferromagnetic order, which is coincident with small monoclinic distortion of the crystal lattice [14,15]. At high \(T\) both systems have similar effective Curie-Weiss fluctuating moment of \(-4\mu_B\), and in both cases only a fraction of the moment participates in the long-range antiferromagnetism.

Magnetic order in our Fe\(_{1+}\)Te sample occurs at \(T_N = 58\) K, about 10 K lower than at lower \(T\), and without sharp discontinuities in \(T\) dependencies, consistent with the idea that interstitial Fe induces magnetic frustration. Analysis of magnetic Bragg intensities visible in the 10 K data of Fig. 1(a) near \((\pm 1, \pm 0.5)\), yields long-range ordered moment of \(\approx 1\mu_B\), about twice smaller than for \(y = 0.05\) [14,15]. However, there is also a significant amount of elastic or nearly elastic 2D magnetic diffuse scattering near \((0, \pm 0.5), (\pm 0.5, 0)\) in our sample, which results from frozen, or very slowly fluctuating short-range correlations. The total spectral weight of magnetic Bragg and diffuse scattering adds to \(\approx 2.3\mu_B\), which is similar to the ordered moment in lower-\(y\) samples.

Recall, that for a system of spins \(S\), magnetic neutron scattering intensity is determined by the product of magnetic form factor, which accounts for the electronic magnetization density associated with each spin, and the dynamical correlation function \(S(Q, E)\), which describes cooperative motions of spins. The total spectral weight of \(S(Q, E)\) obeys the sum rule, \(\int S(Q, E)d^2QdE = S(S + 1) = [\mu_{\text{eff}}/(g\mu_B)]^2\), where we omit polarization indices and imply trace over spin polarizations; \(g\) is the Landé factor. This defines the fluctuating instantaneous effective moment, \(\mu_{\text{eff}}\), whereas the ordered static moment is \(g\mu_B(S)\), where \(S\) is the ground-state value of spin-\(S\) operator, with \(g\mu_B(S) < g\mu_BS < \mu_{\text{eff}}\). Figure 3(a) shows that magnetic Bragg peaks account for only \(\approx 28\%\) of the total intensity, while another \(\approx 28\%\) are in the inelastic spectrum. By energy-integrating all contributions, we obtain \(\mu_{\text{eff}} = 2.7(7)\mu_B\) at 10 K, which is very close to \(\approx 2.8\mu_B\) expected for \(S = 1\) and \(g = 2\).

Thus, only about a half of the total magnetic intensity expected for \(\mu_{\text{eff}} = 4\mu_B\), which is obtained from the uniform CW susceptibility, is accounted in our \(T = 10\) K data. This already suggests that we do not deal with just a system of local spins. The temperature dependence provides further striking evidence. Indeed, for a system of spins \(S\), the sum rule requires that the integral of \(S(Q, E)\) remains constant \([= S(S + 1)\) at all temperatures. The magnetic scattering in the insulating ZnCr\(_2\)O\(_4\) is consistent with local moments that do not change with
temperature. In Fe$_{1-y}$Te the behavior is markedly different, which is already clear from Figs. 2 and 3. We find that total magnetic INS intensity significantly increases upon heating, as summarized in Fig. 4(c). The total magnetic spectral weight at 300 K yields $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 3.6 \mu_B$, close to the value of $\approx 3.9 \mu_B$ corresponding to $S = 3/2$ and in good agreement with the susceptibility data. Thus, the overall picture is of a temperature-induced change from local spins $S = 1$ at 10 K to $S = 3/2$ at 300 K. This can only occur as a result of an effective change by 1 of the number of localized electrons, with a corresponding change in the number of itinerant electrons.

Having made this surprising discovery, we performed a more detailed survey of the temperature dependence of $S(Q, E)$. Figure 4(b) shows the resulting wave-vector-integrated correlation function, $S(E)$, on a logarithmic scale, which emphasizes the changing balance between quasielastic and inelastic fluctuations. As illustrated in Fig. 4(a), the resonance character of the inelastic spectrum in Fe$_{1-y}$Te is clearly retained even at 300 K, in contrast to the behavior of frustrated local spins in the insulating ZnCr$_2$O$_4$. It is this resonance mode which is the main beneficiary of the additional temperature-induced spectral weight in $S(E)$. A possible origin of such a diffuse resonant mode is screening of the local moments by the conduction electrons, as in the Kondo effect.

Finally, the sum of the temperature-dependent magnetic Bragg intensity and the quasielastic 2D diffuse scattering in Fig. 4(c) is nearly $T$ independent right through $T_N$ and beyond. This suggests that both long-range antiferromagnetism, and the monoclinic distortion, likely are only modest perturbations to the emergent spin dynamics, which is governed by much stronger interactions of local spins and itinerant electrons.

While the relevance of magnetic correlations to HTSC is widely acknowledged, the nature of the magnetism has been controversial [5–10]. Here, for a system bearing an immediate relation to HTSC, we have presented direct experimental evidence for emergent local spin magnetism nontrivially coupled to the itinerant electrons. While the nature of the local spin clusters which govern low-energy magnetic fluctuations in Fe$_{1-y}$Te is clearly not favorable for the HTSC, perhaps a slight change of the electronic structure in FeTe$_{1-x}$Se$_x$ modifies the emergent modes and their interaction with itinerant electrons in a manner conducive for the superconductivity. To our knowledge, the temperature-induced enhancement of local magnetic moments that we have found in iron telluride has never before been observed in a magnetic iron group material. After this Letter was completed, data on Fe$_{1+y}$Te with different $y$ appeared, showing traces of this behavior [16]. This effect calls for conceptually new approaches to understanding HTSC, as it has not been anticipated by any theoretical work, and is not expected in a description based on rigid bands for the conduction electrons.
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